

# On the periodicity of Somos sequences

Alexey Ustinov

Higher School of Economics

”Selected Topics in Mathematics: Online Edition”

20.03.2026

# Somos–(4)

Somos–(4) sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is defined by initial data

$$s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = 1$$

and recurrence relation

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-2} = s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2.$$

It begins with

$\dots, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 7, 23, 59, 314, 1529, \dots$

(Obviously  $s_n = s_{5-n}$ .)



# Somos–(6)

Somos first introduced the sequence Somos-(6) such that

$$s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = s_5 = s_6 = 1$$

and

$$s_{n+3}s_{n-3} = s_{n+2}s_{n-2} + s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2.$$

He raised the question whether all the terms are integer:

1470\*. *Proposed by Michael Somos, Cleveland, Ohio.*

Consider the sequence  $(a_n)$  where  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_5 = 1$  and

$$a_n = \frac{a_{n-1}a_{n-5} + a_{n-2}a_{n-4} + a_{n-3}^2}{a_{n-6}}$$

for  $n \geq 6$ . Computer calculations show that  $a_6, a_7, \dots, a_{100}$  are all integers.

Consequently it is conjectured that all the  $a_n$  are integers. Prove or disprove.



Somos M. Problem 1470. *Crux Mathematicorum*, 15: 7 (1989), p. 208.



The integrality of Somos–(4) and Somos–(5) was proved by Janice Malouf, Enrico Bombieri and Dean Hickerson (1990).

The integrality of Somos–(6) was proved by Dean Hickerson (April 1990).

The integrality of Somos–(7) was proved by Ben Lotto (May 1990).

*D. Gale: The proof, rather than illuminating the phenomenon, makes it if anything more mysterious. . . One is reminded of the proof of the four-color theorem.*

The integrality of Somos–(4) and Somos–(5) was proved by Janice Malouf, Enrico Bombieri and Dean Hickerson (1990).

The integrality of Somos–(6) was proved by Dean Hickerson (April 1990).

The integrality of Somos–(7) was proved by Ben Lotto (May 1990).

*D. Gale: The proof, rather than illuminating the phenomenon, makes it if anything more mysterious. . . One is reminded of the proof of the four-color theorem.*

# Somos–(8)

Somos–(8) is a sequence with initial data

$$s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = s_5 = s_6 = s_7 = s_8 = 1$$

satisfying recurrence relation

$$s_{n+4}s_{n-4} = s_{n+3}s_{n-3} + s_{n+2}s_{n-2} + s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2.$$

Somos–(8) is NOT an integer sequence:

$\dots, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 7, 13, 25, 61, 187, 775, 5827, 14815, \frac{420514}{7}, \dots$

Somos–(8) is a wild object. Probably it has no properties at all.

## Definition

For integer  $k \geq 4$  **Somos- $k$  sequence** is a sequence generated by quadratic recurrence relation of the form

$$s_{n+k}s_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \alpha_j s_{n+k-j} s_{n+j},$$

where  $\alpha_j$  are constants and  $s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}$  are initial data.

In particular **Somos-4** is defined by initial data  $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3$  and fourth-order recurrence

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-2} = \alpha s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + \beta s_n^2,$$

## Theorem (Fomin and Zelevinsky, 2002)

*For a Somos- $k$  sequences ( $k = 4, 5, 6$  and  $7$ ) all of the terms in the sequences are Laurent polynomials in these initial data whose coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}]$ , so that*

$$s_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}, s_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, s_k^{\pm 1}] \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$



Fomin S. and Zelevinsky A. “The Laurent Phenomenon”, Adv. Appl. Math. 28 (2002) 119–144.

Integrality of original Somos- $(k)$  sequences follows from the theorem with

$$\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} = s_1 = \dots = s_k = 1.$$

But this Theorem is not a final step.

# A sigma-function solution for Somos–4

Elementary proofs don't spread any light on the nature of Somos sequences. There are elliptic curves hidden behind Somos–4–5 and hyperelliptic curves of genus 2 behind Somos–6–7.

The general solution of Somos–4 recurrence relation is given by C. Swart (2003) and A. Hone (2005)

$$s_n = AB^n \frac{\sigma(z_0 + nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}},$$

where  $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and

$$\sigma(z) = \sigma_\Gamma(z) = z \prod_{w \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) e^{\frac{z}{w} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^2}$$

is Weierstrass sigma-function associated to plane lattice  $\Gamma$ .

# A sigma-function solution for Somos–4

Elementary proofs don't spread any light on the nature of Somos sequences. There are elliptic curves hidden behind Somos–4–5 and hyperelliptic curves of genus 2 behind Somos–6–7.

The general solution of Somos–4 recurrence relation is given by C. Swart (2003) and A. Hone (2005)

$$s_n = AB^n \frac{\sigma(z_0 + nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}},$$

where  $z, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and

$$\sigma(z) = \sigma_\Gamma(z) = z \prod_{w \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) e^{\frac{z}{w} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^2}$$

is Weierstrass sigma-function associated to plane lattice  $\Gamma$ .

# Finite rank sequences

The main property of Somos–4 and Somos–6: they have **finite rank**.

## Definition (1)

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  has a **(finite) rank  $r$**  if maximal rank of two infinite matrices

$$M_0 = (s_{m+n}s_{m-n}) \Big|_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \quad M_1 = (s_{m+n+1}s_{m-n}) \Big|_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

is  $r$ .

## Definition (2)

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  has a **(finite) rank  $r$**  if  $r$  is a least possible  $k$  such that for all integer  $m$  and  $n$

$$s_{m+n}s_{m-n} = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j(m)g_j(n), \quad s_{m+n+1}s_{m-n} = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{f}_j(m)\tilde{g}_j(n).$$

# Finite rank sequences

The main property of Somos-4 and Somos-6: they have **finite rank**.

## Definition (1)

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  has a **(finite) rank  $r$**  if maximal rank of two infinite matrices

$$M_0 = (s_{m+n}s_{m-n}) \Big|_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty}, \quad M_1 = (s_{m+n+1}s_{m-n}) \Big|_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

is  $r$ .

## Definition (3)

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  has a **(finite) rank** if  $(s_n)$  is a Somos- $k$  sequence for every  $k \geq k_0$ .

# Finite rank sequences: examples

## Example (1)

Let  $s_n = AB^n C^{n^2}$ . Then

$$s_{m+n}s_{m-n} = A^2 B^{2m} C^{2m^2+2n^2} = f(m)g(n),$$

where

$$f(m) = A^2 B^{2m} C^{2m^2} \quad \text{and} \quad g(n) = C^{2n^2}.$$

(And almost the same for  $s_{m+n+1}s_{m-n}$ .) So the sequence  $s_n = AB^n C^{n^2}$  has rank 1. And this is the only rank-1 sequence.

## Example (2)

The sequence  $s_n = n$  has rank 2 because

$$s_{m+n}s_{m-n} = m^2 - n^2, \quad \text{and} \quad s_{m+n+1}s_{m-n} = m(m+1) - n(n+1).$$

# Finite rank sequences: examples

## Example (3)

$s_n = n^k$  has rank  $k + 1$ .

## Example (4)

$s_n = \sin n$  has rank 2:  $\sin(m + n) \sin(m - n) = \frac{1}{2} (\cos 2m - \cos 2n)$ .

## Example (5)

For Fibonacci sequence  $s_n = F_n$  we have

$$F_{m+n}F_{m-n} = \frac{1}{5} (L_{2m} - (-1)^{m+n}L_{2n}),$$

$$F_{m+n+1}F_{m-n} = \frac{1}{5} (L_{2m+1} - (-1)^{m+n}L_{2n+1}),$$

where  $L_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n+1}$  are Lucas numbers. So Fibonacci sequence has rank 2 as well.

# Finite rank sequences: non-trivial examples

## Example (6)

The sequence  $s_n = \sigma(z_0 + zn)$  has rank 2 because of addition formula

$$\sigma(u - v)\sigma(u + v) = -\wp(u)\sigma(u)^2\sigma(v)^2 + \wp(v)\sigma(u)^2\sigma(v)^2.$$

## Example (7)

The same for

$$s_n = \theta_j(z_0 + zn)$$

because we know addition theorems of the form

$$\theta_1(y + z)\theta_1(y - z)\theta_4^2 = \theta_3^2(y)\theta_2^2(z) - \theta_2^2(y)\theta_3^2(z) \dots$$

## Example (8)

The Riemann theta function

$$\theta(z, \tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{2\pi i \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle \tau m, m \rangle + \langle m, z \rangle \right)},$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$  and the imaginary part of a  $g \times g$  matrix  $\tau$  is positive definite, has rank  $2^g$ .

# Finite rank sequences: Somos examples

Example (Ma, 2010; conjectured by Gosper & Schroeppel, 2007)

Somos-4 has rank 2.

It follows from general formula

$$s_n = AB^n \frac{\sigma(z_0 + nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}}.$$

Example (Fedorov, Hone, 2016; conj. by Gosper & Schroeppel, 2007)

Somos-6 has rank 4.

It follows from general formula for  $s_n$  in terms of the Kleinian sigma-function of genus two.

## Theorem (Fedorov, Hone, 2016)

*The general solution of Somos–6 recurrence has the following form*

$$s_n = AB^n C^{n^2} \frac{\sigma(z_0 + nz)}{\sigma(z)^{n^2}},$$

*where  $\sigma$  is the Kleinian sigma-function associated with some genus 2 algebraic curve  $X$ , and  $z, z_0 \in \text{Jac}(X)$ .*

# Somos-4: the main property

## Magic determinant

General Somos-4 has rank 2. It means that Somos-4 sequence satisfy “magic determinant property” or “addition formula” (Ma, 2010)

$$\begin{matrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \end{matrix} \begin{vmatrix} \overset{n_1}{S_{m_1+n_1}} \overset{n_1}{S_{m_1-n_1}} & \overset{n_2}{S_{m_1+n_2}} \overset{n_2}{S_{m_1-n_2}} & \overset{n_3}{S_{m_1+n_3}} \overset{n_3}{S_{m_1-n_3}} \\ \overset{n_1}{S_{m_2+n_1}} \overset{n_1}{S_{m_2-n_1}} & \overset{n_2}{S_{m_2+n_2}} \overset{n_2}{S_{m_2-n_2}} & \overset{n_3}{S_{m_2+n_3}} \overset{n_3}{S_{m_2-n_3}} \\ \overset{n_1}{S_{m_3+n_1}} \overset{n_1}{S_{m_3-n_1}} & \overset{n_2}{S_{m_3+n_2}} \overset{n_2}{S_{m_3-n_2}} & \overset{n_3}{S_{m_3+n_3}} \overset{n_3}{S_{m_3-n_3}} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $m_i, n_j$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are arbitrary integers or half-integers. It is the main property because another properties of Somos-4 sequence follow from “magic determinant”.

# Magic determinant

## Applications

### Doubling formulae for Somos-(4)

$$\begin{array}{c} n \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s_{2n}s_0 \\ s_{1+n}s_{1-n} \\ s_n s_{-n} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s_{n+1}s_{n-1} \\ s_2s_0 \\ s_1s_{-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s_n^2 \\ s_1^2 \\ s_0^2 \end{array} = 0,$$

$$\begin{array}{c} s_{2n+1}s_0 \\ s_{2+n}s_{1-n} \\ s_{n+1}s_{-n} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s_{n+2}s_{n-1} \\ s_3s_0 \\ s_2s_{-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} s_{n+1}s_n \\ s_2s_1 \\ s_1s_0 \end{array} = 0,$$

— imply integrality;

— allow to calculate  $s_{mn} \pmod{p}$  from  $(s_{n-3}, s_{n-2}, s_{n-1}, s_n)$  in  $O(\log(m))$  operations.

# Diffie-Hellman key exchange with Somos-4 sequences

[Gosper, Orman, Schroepfel]

- Alice chooses  $A$  from  $[1; p - 1]$
- Alice calculates  $(s_{A-3}, s_{A-2}, s_{A-1}, s_A) \pmod{p}$
- Bob does the same with  $B$
- Alice sends  $(s_{A-3}, s_{A-2}, s_{A-1}, s_A) \pmod{p}$  to Bob
- Bob sends  $(s_{B-3}, s_{B-2}, s_{B-1}, s_B) \pmod{p}$  to Alice
- Alice computes  $s_{BA} \pmod{p}$
- Bob computes  $s_{AB} \pmod{p}$

# General properties of finite rank sequences

Properties which seemed to be more or less equivalent for general Somos sequences (different sides of **Integrality**):

- Finite rank
- Laurent phenomenon
- Positivity
- Reasonable tropicalization
- Periodicity (mod  $N$ )
- General formula in terms of theta-functions

# Periodicity of Somos sequences

Somos–(4) sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is defined by initial data

$$s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = 1$$

and recurrence relation

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-2} = s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2.$$

Periodicity of Somos–(4) sequence is not obvious because we can not find all elements from the recurrence relation

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-2} \equiv s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2 \pmod{m}.$$

Sometimes we have to calculate  $0/0 \pmod{m}$ .

Let  $E = \{(x, y) : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\}$  be an elliptic curve and  $P = (x, y) \in E$ . Then the point

$$P_n = nP = \underbrace{P + P + \dots + P}_n$$

has a very special coordinates. There is a  $\psi_n = \psi_n(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[a, b, x, y]$  such that  $nP = \left(\frac{\dots}{\psi_n^2}, \frac{\dots}{\psi_n^3}\right)$ . First elements are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= 0, & \psi_1 &= 1, & \psi_2 &= 2y, & \psi_3 &= 3x^4 + 6ax^2 + 12bx - a^2, \\ \psi_4 &= 4y(x^6 + 5ax^4 + 20bx^3 - 5a^2x^2 - 4abx - 8b^2 - a^3). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Polynomials  $\psi_n(x, y)$  are known as *division polynomials*. They satisfy the following recurrence

$$\psi_1^2 \psi_{n+2} \psi_{n-2} = \psi_2^2 \psi_{n+1} \psi_{n-1} - \psi_1 \psi_3 \psi_n^2 \quad (n \geq 3). \quad (2)$$

For any particular curve  $E$  and point  $P \in E$  we get a sequence of numbers.

# Periodicity of Somos sequences

Special case: EDS

Ward (1948) proved that elliptic divisibility sequences modulo an arbitrary prime  $p$  are periodic and estimated the period length.

Ayad (1993), under certain technical restrictions, proved the periodicity of an elliptic divisibility sequence modulo an arbitrary number.

Shipsey (2000) proved that elliptic divisibility sequences are periodic modulo modulo  $p^2$ .

Silverman (2005) established the periodicity in finite fields.

# Periodicity of Somos sequences

The first idea: Laurent property

## Theorem (Robinson, 1992)

*If general Somos- $k$  sequence satisfy Laurent property, if  $s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}$  are prime to  $m$ , and if*

$$s_{r+n} \equiv s_n \pmod{m} \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, k-1,$$

*then  $\{s_n\}$  has a period  $r$ .*

The proof follows directly from Laurent property:

$$s_n = \frac{p_n(s_0, \dots, s_{k-1})}{q_n(s_0, \dots, s_{k-1})},$$

where  $q_n(s_0, \dots, s_{k-1})$  is a monomial and it is prime to  $m$ .

From this theorem follows that Somos-(4) and Somos-(5) are periodic.

But his method does not work for  $k = 6$  and  $k = 7$ .

# Magic determinant

Applications: additional recurrences

The identity

$$\begin{array}{c} n \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} k \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{ccc} s_{n+k} s_{n-k} & s_{n+1} s_{n-1} & s_n^2 \\ s_{1+k} s_{1-k} & s_2 s_0 & s_1^2 \\ s_k s_{-k} & s_1 s_{-1} & s_0^2 \end{array} \right| = 0,$$

means that Somos-4 is Somos- $k$  for arbitrary even  $k \geq 4$ : for some  $\alpha_k$ ,  $\beta_k$

$$s_{n+k} s_{n-k} = \alpha_k s_{n+1} s_{n-1} - \beta_k s_n^2.$$

# Magic determinant

Applications: additional recurrences

The identity

$$\begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} k & 1 & 0 \end{matrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_{n+k+1}s_{n-k} & s_{n+2}s_{n-1} & s_n^2 \\ s_{2+k}s_{1-k} & s_3s_0 & s_2s_1 \\ s_{k+1}s_{-k} & s_2s_{-1} & s_1s_0 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

means that Somos-4 is Somos- $k$  for arbitrary odd  $k \geq 5$ : for some  $\tilde{\alpha}_k$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_k$

$$s_{n+k+1}s_{n-k} = \tilde{\alpha}_k s_{n+2}s_{n-1} - \tilde{\beta}_k s_{n+1}s_n.$$

In particular

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-3} = -s_{n+1}s_{n-2} + 5s_n s_{n-1}.$$

# The integrality of Somos-(4)

For Somos-(4) we have

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-2} = s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2,$$

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-3} = -s_{n+1}s_{n-2} + 5s_ns_{n-1},$$

so

$$s_{n+2} = \frac{s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2}{s_{n-2}},$$

$$s_{n+2} = \frac{-s_{n+1}s_{n-2} + 5s_ns_{n-1}}{s_{n-3}},$$

and  $(s_{n-2}, s_{n-3}) = 1$ . So if  $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $s_{n+2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

# The periodicity of Somos-(4)

Two recurrences

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-2} \equiv s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2 \pmod{p^\gamma},$$

$$s_{n+2}s_{n-3} \equiv -s_{n+1}s_{n-2} + 5s_ns_{n-1} \pmod{p^\gamma}$$

allow to find  $s_{n+2} \pmod{p^\gamma}$  once we know 5 previous elements: either  $(s_{n-2}, p) = 1$  or  $(s_{n-3}, p) = 1$ .

# The periodicity of Somos-(6)

For Somos-(6) we can find three recurrences

$$s_{n+5}s_{n-4} = -s_{n+4}s_{n-3} - s_{n+3}s_{n-2} + s_{n+2}s_{n-1} + 34s_{n+1}s_n,$$

$$s_{n+5}s_{n-5} = s_{n+4}s_{n-4} + 15s_{n+2}s_{n-2} - 19s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + 34s_n^2,$$

$$s_{n+5}s_{n-6} = s_{n+3}s_{n-4} + 19s_{n+2}s_{n-3} + 34s_{n+1}s_{n-2} + 19s_ns_{n-1}.$$

Moreover, for any prime  $p$  one of the elements  $s_{n-4}$  or  $s_{n-5}$  or  $s_{n-6}$  must be coprime to  $p$ . Why? Because

$$s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = s_5 = s_6 = 1$$

and

$$s_{n+3}s_{n-3} = s_{n+2}s_{n-2} + s_{n+1}s_{n-1} + s_n^2.$$

## Theorem (AU, 2023)

*Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a finite rank integer Somos sequence. Then for any positive integer  $m$  the sequence  $\{s_n \bmod m\}$  is eventually periodic.*

Reminder:

## Definition (3)

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  has a **(finite) rank** if  $(s_n)$  is a Somos- $k$  sequence for every  $k \geq k_0$ .

First idea: we can generate arbitrarily many recurrence relations.

Second idea:

## Lemma

*Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a finite rank integer Somos sequence, and let  $p$  be a prime. Then one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

- (a) For any  $m \geq 1$ , there exists a  $T_m \geq 0$  such that  $s_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$  for  $|n| \geq T_m$ .*
- (b) There exist  $m \geq 0$ ,  $T \geq 0$ , and  $N > 0$  such that  $s_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$  for  $|n| \geq T$  but at least one of any  $N$  consecutive elements of the sequence  $(s_n)$  is not divisible by  $p^{m+1}$ .*

A natural candidate for further investigations is the Gale–Robinson sequence generated by

$$S_{m+n}S_m = \alpha S_{m+r}S_{m+n-r} + \beta S_{m+p}S_{m+n-p} + \gamma S_{m+q}S_{m+n-q},$$

where  $r + p + q = n$ .